

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/800,690	(03/07/2001	Li-Lung Chao	YOR920010128US1/I27-0007	5054
•	7590	02/23/2004	•	EXAMI	NER
Philmore H	. Colburn	ı II	FADOK, MARK A		
Cantor Colburn LLP 55 Griffin Road South Bloomfield, CT 06002				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3625	
				DATE MAILED: 02/23/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/800,690	CHAO ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
•	Mark Fadok	3625
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repi - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron e, cause the application to become ABANDONI	mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under the practice.	 s action is non-final. ince except for formal matters, pr	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 18 April 2001 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). pjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. Is have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)	🗖	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5</u> .		Patent Application (PTO-152)

DETAILED ACTION

Examiner's Note

Examiner has cited particular columns and line numbers or figures in the references as applied to the claims below for the convenience of the applicant.

Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings in the art and are applied to the specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply as well. It is respectfully requested from the applicant, in preparing the responses, to fully consider the references in entirety as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the examiner.

Claim Objections

Claim 3 is objected to because of the following informalities: in line 4 of the instant claims the word "form" should be "from". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 USC 101 because these claims have no connection to the technological arts (i.e. computer, network, data processing, internet, ect.). In this case even though the database could be construed as an electronic database it still does not define any actionable processing and is therefore considered

Art Unit: 3625

to be a trivial use of technology. To overcome this rejection, the examiner recommends that the applicant amend the claims to incorporate limitations directed to the technological arts in the body of the claims. Please note that merely reciting technological limitations in the preamble of the claim is not sufficient to overcome this rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Alnwick (US 2002/0007318) in view of Official Notice.

In regards to claim 1, Alnwick discloses a system for back ordering out of stock products, the system comprising:

a host system for receiving an order for a product from a user (FIG 1),

determining whether inventory for said product satisfies said order (abstract, particular component in stock?),

Application/Control Number: 09/800,690

Art Unit: 3625

notifying said user if said inventory for said product does not satisfy said order (page 4, line 56),

Alnwick teaches searching for a product and determining if available quantities are in stock (para 56), placing an order for a back ordered quantity that was not available from the current stock (para 76), updating inventory amounts (para 76), having a vendor approve the order (para 86) and tracking status (para 86), but does not specifically mention that the inventory is updated after the back ordered product is received and that the customer is notified. It was old and well known in the art at the time of the invention to update inventories when product is received and notify a customer when a back ordered product is available for pick up or delivery. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art to include in Alnwick notifying the customer of an updated inventory that reflects that the order quantity is available, because this would provide the customer additional information which would improve the customer's scheduling.

receiving a delivery request from said user to deliver said product (page 6, para 78);

a network coupled to said host system (page 6, para 86); and a database coupled to said host system for storing data relating to said back

ordering out of stock products (page 7, para 94).

In regards to claim 2, Alnwick teaches a user system coupled to said network (FIG 1, item 3); and

Art Unit: 3625

said user system accessing said host system via said network (FIG 1).

In regards to claim 3, Alnwick teaches wherein said acquiring said amount and updating said inventory includes said host system:

requesting said amount from a supplier; and

receiving a request from said supplier to add said amount to said inventory (see response to claim 1).

In regards to claim 4, Alnwick teaches placing a time limit on an active quote in which after that time the quote expires (para 93) and placing a back order (see response to claims 1-3), but does not specifically mention that a time period is placed by the user on the back ordered items from a supplier. It was old and well known at the time of the invention to place specific requirements on delivery requests. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art to include in Alnwick having the capability to allow the customer to cancel an order if the product was not available on a certain date, because this would free both the customer and the supplier from the contract and allow the customer to pursue other suppliers to attain potentially critical parts.

In regards to claim 5, Alnwick teaches notifying said user that said back order request will remain on hold for a predetermined time (para 93);

determining whether said predetermined time has expired; and

Art Unit: 3625

deleting said back order request if said predetermined time has expired (see response to claim 4 above).

In regards to claim 6, Alnwick teaches wherein said delivery request includes said host system:

sending a back order confirmation request to said user;

receiving a back order confirmation; and

reducing said inventory data to reflect said back order confirmation (see response to claims 1-5 above).

In regards to claims 7 through 21, these claims and their features are considered parallel claims to claims 1-7 and are rejected to the same reasons as claims 1-7 above.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Mark Fadok** whose telephone number is **(703) 605-4252**. The examiner can normally be reached Monday thru Thursday 8:00 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vincent Millin can be reached on (703) 308-1065.

Application/Control Number: 09/800,690

Art Unit: 3625

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the **Receptionist** whose telephone number is **(703) 308-1113**.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Va. 22313-1450

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306

[Official communications; including

After Final communications labeled

"Box AF"]

(703) 746-7206 [Informal/Draft communications, labeled

"PROPOSED" or "DRAFT"]

Hand delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park 5, 2451 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, 7th floor receptionist.

Mark Fadok

Patent Examiner